



CDPH/CTCA Joint Guidelines

Guidelines for the Assessment of Tuberculosis Patient Infectiousness and Placement into High and Lower Risk Settings

Table of Contents

I.	Preface	2
II.	Background	2
III.	Objectives	3
IV.	Risk Definitions - Settings, Disease Progression, and Drug Resistance	3
V.	Definition of Terms	5
VI.	General Considerations for Determining the Risk of TB Transmission and the Development of Secondary TB Cases	7
VII.	Criteria for Infectiousness and Placement in High and Lower Risk Settings	8
VIII.	Discharge or Transfer of Patients with Suspected or Known TB from Health Care Facilities	12
IX.	Home Isolation	13
Х.	References	15
XI.	Acknowledgements	18

I. Preface

The following Guidelines have been developed by the California Department of Public Health (CDPH), Center for Infectious Diseases, Tuberculosis Control Branch (TBCB), and the California TB Controllers Association (CTCA). These Guidelines provide statewide recommendations for tuberculosis (TB) control in California. If these Guidelines are altered for local use, then the logo should be removed and adaptation from this source document acknowledged.

No set of guidelines can cover all individual situations that can and will arise. When questions arise on individual situations not covered by these guidelines, consult with your local TB Controller or the CDPH, TBCB. As mandated by state law (California Health and Safety Code, Section 121361), all decisions regarding the discharge or transfer of TB patients from health care facilities must be made by the local health officer (LHO) or designee of the jurisdiction in which the facility is located.

II. Background

One of the cornerstones of tuberculosis (TB) control is preventing TB transmission and the development of secondary TB cases. TB transmission has been documented in a variety of high risk settings, including health care facilities (HCFs), skilled nursing facilities (SNFs), correctional institutions, congregate living sites for HIV-infected persons, residential drug treatment facilities, and homeless shelters. This guideline was originally published in 1997 and was entitled *Guidelines for the Placement or Return of TB Patients into High Risk Housing, Work, Correctional or In-Patient Settings*.¹ The aim was to provide guidance for the safe placement of TB patients into high risk settings in California. The guideline underwent revision in 2009 following work that better defined characteristics associated with ongoing infectiousness after the initiation of appropriate anti-TB therapy²⁻⁹ and following publication of updated guidelines from the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC). It was also expanded to include recommendations for the safe placement of TB patients into lower risk settings.

This current version reflects shifts in the epidemiology of TB in California and diagnostic advances. The guideline continues to be in accordance with recommendations made by the three most recent national guidelines published by the CDC addressing patient infectiousness- Guidelines for Preventing the Transmission of *Mycobacterium tuberculosis* in Health-Care Settings (2005)¹⁰, Prevention and Control of Tuberculosis in Correctional and Detention Facilities (2006)¹¹, and Guidelines for the Investigation of Contacts of Persons with Infectious Tuberculosis (2005).¹²

Because of the robust data on the value of an induced specimen, we are recommending that at least one of the three specimens should be induced, if

sputum induction is available. To conform to the CDC recommendations, the number of days on anti-TB therapy for AFB sputum smear negative patients to be considered non-infectious is five days.

Although there is evidence that patients with multidrug-resistant TB (MDR TB) on effective treatment become non-contagious quickly,¹³ because the transmission of MDR TB infection has more serious potential consequences for contacts, a more stringent set of criteria for release from isolation of these patients is warranted, and reflected in these guidelines.

The following guideline first defines risk-related and pertinent TB-related terms and outlines considerations for determining the risk of *Mycobacterium tuberculosis* (*M. tb*) transmission. The latter sections provide criteria for infectiousness and placement in high and low risk settings, criteria for discharge or transfer of TB cases and suspects from health care facilities, and considerations for home isolation. The document does not focus on the release of hospitalized patients from negative pressure isolation.

III. Objectives

These guidelines have been developed to reduce the risk of TB transmission by:

- 1. Defining uniform criteria for patient non-infectiousness which should be assessed before placing patients in settings in which the risk of transmission and/or secondary TB cases is high.
- 2. Defining uniform criteria for patient non-infectiousness for placement of patients in settings in which the risk of both transmission and secondary TB cases is lower.

IV. Risk Definitions: Settings, Disease Progression, and Drug Resistance

1. High risk setting^a

- a. A <u>residential or work</u> setting in which others will share air with the TB patient and which is characterized by one or more of the following factors:
 - i. A large number or high density of persons.
 - ii. Presence of persons at high risk of progression to active TB disease (see IV. 3. below).
 - iii. The presence of persons who have not been previously exposed to the TB patient.

^a Determination of risk should be done by the local TB control program

2. Lower risk setting^b

- a. A <u>residential</u> setting not characterized as high risk, and:
 - i. No other persons will share the air with the TB patient; OR
 - ii. Other persons who will share the air with the TB patient are not at increased risk

All settings should be considered high risk until an assessment has been done by the local TB control program.

- for progression to TB disease infected (see IV.3. below); OR
- iii. All persons at increased risk of progression to TB disease if infected, including all children under the age of five years, who will share the air with the TB patient have been previously exposed to the TB patient, have had a complete medical evaluation and have been started on therapy, including window period treatment for presumed LTBI (TB1),¹² as appropriate.
- b. A <u>work</u> setting not characterized as high risk, and in which no contacts are known or reasonably expected to be at increased risk of progression to TB disease if infected.

3. Persons at increased risk of progression to TB disease if infected¹²

- a. Children < 5 years of age.
- b. Persons with medical conditions associated with an increased risk of progression to active TB disease, including:
 - i. HIV infection (including persons at increased risk for HIV infection who have not been tested).
 - ii. Diabetes mellitus, especially if insulin dependent or poorly controlled.
 - iii. End-stage renal disease.
 - iv. Injection drug use, if HIV status unknown.
 - v. Cancer of the head and neck.
 - vi. Immunosuppressive treatment, including chronic corticosteroids, anti TNF agents, post-transplant therapy and cancer chemotherapy.
 - vii. Other diseases characterized by immunosuppression, such as lymphoma or leukemia.
- viii. Intestinal bypass or gastrectomy.
- ix. Low body weight (> 10% below ideal body weight).
- x. Chronic malabsorption.
- xi. Malnutrition and clinical situations associated with rapid weight loss.
- xii. Silicosis.

^b Determination of risk should be done by the local TB control program

4. Persons at increased risk of MDR TB¹⁴

- a. Contact to an MDR TB case.
- b. Current TB treatment with evidence of treatment failure.
- C. Prior TB treatment since 1970 (<u>Exception</u>: relapse of disease following completion of adequate therapy by Directly Observed Therapy (DOT) for an episode of pan-susceptible disease).
- d. Immigration from or recent extended travel to a country with a high incidence (> 2%) of MDR TB among cases from that country diagnosed in California.^C Data on MDR cases by country of origin is provided in the "Report on Tuberculosis in California".^d

Persons at increased risk of MDR TB:

- Contact to an MDR TB case.
- Current TB treatment with evidence of treatment failure.
- Prior TB treatment, unless DOT.
- Immigration from, or recent extended travel to, a country with a high incidence of MDR TB.
- Other risk groups identified by the state or local health department.

V. Definition of Terms

- 1. Acid Fast Bacilli (AFB) smear negative: any respiratory specimen which is negative for AFB.¹⁵
- 2. Acid Fast Bacilli (AFB) smear positive: any respiratory specimen positive for AFB by microscopy. Because fluorescent (auramine-rhodamine [A-R]) staining is potentially prone to artifact, if an AFB smear is positive on A-R, it is strongly recommended to confirm with Ziehl-Neelson (Z-N) staining. Because infectiousness has been associated with degree of smear positivity, and persons who are A-R positive but Z-N negative have low

^c Current data on the risk of MDR TB in US TB Cases, by Country of Origin, are available from the CDC, Division of TB Elimination (DTBE) (<u>www.cdc.gov/tb</u>)

^d Current data on California epidemiologic groups at increased risk for MDR-TB are available from the CDPH, TBCB (510-620-3000) (www.cdph.ca.gov/Programs/CID/DCDC/Pages/TBCB.aspx)

numbers of AFB on smear, these persons can be considered smear negative for determining infectiousness.

- **3. Direct genetic test for drug resistance:** direct test for mutations associated with isoniazid (INH) and/or rifamycin (RIF) resistance, for example, the gene pyrosequencing, or line probe assay. Cepheid Xpert ® MTB/RIF tests for RIF resistance only.
- 4. Drug susceptibility test (DST): phenotypic drug susceptibility test in liquid or solid media.
- **5. MDR TB**: confirmed TB disease resistant to INH and RIF based on the results of drug susceptibility testing (DST), or a direct genetic test (unless discordant with subsequent phenotypic DST).
- 6. Nucleic acid amplification test (NAAT): a test that detects, via the amplification of specific nucleic acid sequences, the presence of *M. tuberculosis (M. tb)* complex in clinical specimens. Examples include MTD[®] (approved for smear positive and smear negative specimens), and Cepheid Xpert[®] MTB/RIF. Some labs use a homebrew PCR for *M. tb* complex identification.^{36,41}
- 7. Positive culture for *M. tb*: liquid or solid media with growth of AFB identified as *M. tb or M. tb complex,* except for *the BCG strain of Mycobacterium bovis.*
- 8. Preliminary positive AFB culture: liquid or solid media with growth of AFB, identification pending.
- **9. Respiratory specimen**: sputum, induced sputum, broncho-alveolar lavage, biopsy of tissue from the respiratory tract (not including pleura).
- **10. Sputum specimen**^{e,16-23}: spontaneous or induced sputum specimen of at least 2 ml (not saliva). Some labs will not accept a specimen less than 5

^e For patients, unable to produce a spontaneous specimen, sputum induction using hypertonic saline should be performed. Because AFB smear positivity is increased significantly by induction compared with simple expectoration, when available an induced specimen is preferred.25 If sputum induction is unsuccessful, an alternative method of sampling such as bronchoscopy should be considered if clinically warranted. Gastric aspirates can be useful for obtaining cultures, especially in children, but are prone to false positive smears due to acid fast stomach organisms. An induction attempt that does not yield an adequate specimen ("dry" induction) may be considered equivalent to an adequate specimen that is smear and culture negative at the discretion of the TB Controller.

ml. In some situations, even a scant specimen if it is true sputum should be processed.²⁴

VI. General Considerations for Determining the Risk of TB Transmission and the Development of Secondary TB Cases

The following factors should be considered in determining the risk of, and consequences of transmission.

- 1. The patient's infectiousness 9,10,12,26 positively correlates with the following factors:
 - a. Disease in the lungs, airways or larynx.
 - b. Presence of cough
 - c. Presence of a positive AFB smear in the sputum.^f
 - d. Extent of infiltration on chest radiograph.
 - e. Cavitation on chest radiograph.
 - f. Failure of the patient to cover the mouth and nose when coughing.
 - g. Inappropriate or short duration of chemotherapy.
 - h. Non-adherence to chemotherapy.
 - i. Poor clinical or bacteriologic response to therapy.
- 2. The probability that exposed persons, if infected, will develop active disease (See IV.3)
- 3. The potential for transmission of *M. tb* in the environment 2^{7}
 - a. Environmental factors which increase the risk of transmission include:
 - i. Potential of others sharing air with the case (either in the same room or via the building ventilation system). Use of HEPA filtration or

For assessing patient infectiousness (except for MDR TB), sputum specimens may be collected ≥ 8 hours apart. At least one should be early AM, induced, collected by broncho- alveolar lavage, or collected post-bronchoscopy.

^f Transmission of TB by AFB smear-negative cases prior to treatment can occur and is well-documented. Consequently, in certain circumstances, the determination of infectiousness may require the application of more stringent criteria, specifically; consistently negative sputum cultures (at least two consecutive respiratory specimens).

ultraviolet germicidal irradiation (UVGI) may reduce the risk.²⁸⁻³¹

- ii. Poor supply of fresh air.¹⁰
- iii. Larger number and higher density of persons in the setting.²⁷
- iv. Longer duration of time spent in the setting.
- b. Transmission of *M.tb* has been documented in a variety of settings. At a minimum, the following types of settings, should be considered high risk:
 - i. Health care facilities¹⁰
 - ii. Correctional facilities¹¹
 - iii. Drug treatment residential facilities³²
 - iv. Other congregate living sites, especially sites housing persons at increased risk of progression to TB disease if infected (see IV.3), including homeless shelters ³³, board and care facilities, and residential treatment facilities³⁴
 - v. Public living accommodation, including single room occupancy hotels³⁵, if air is shared in common areas or through the building ventilation system.

4. Drug resistance of the patient's TB isolate

VII. Criteria for Evaluating Placement in High and Lower Risk Settings

- 1. The TB clinical and bacteriological status of the patient as well as the environment must be considered when evaluating the risk of transmission of TB to others
- 2. Results of nucleic acid amplification tests (NAAT) may be used in placement decisions; three specimens should always be collected for AFB smear and culture.
- Suggested criteria to assist in the decision-making process are delineated in tables 1 and 2. Placement decisions are made at the discretion of the local TB Controller.

Table 1. Criteria for Evaluati	ng Placement in a	a HIGH RISK SETTING ^g
--------------------------------	-------------------	----------------------------------

Category	Lab Criteria ^{h,i}	Treatment Criteria ^j
TB suspected	AFB smear negative x 3 <u>OR</u> NAAT negative x 2^{h} <u>OR</u> AFB smear positive <u>AND</u> NAAT negative x 2 (ideally done on the same specimens) ^h	No minimum number of days of TB treatment required
 TB suspected or known AFB smear negative <u>OR</u> NAAT negative x 2 (without smear results) <u>OR</u> AFB smear negative <u>AND</u> NAAT positive No MDR TB risk factors 	AFB smear negative x 3 Obtain direct genetic test, if available and not already done, for rifampin resistance	≥5 daily doses of appropriate TB treatment taken and tolerated <u>AND</u> Clinical improvement
 TB suspected or known AFB smear positive <u>AND</u> NAAT positive <u>OR</u>NAAT positive (without smear results) No MDR TB risk factors 	AFB smear negative x 3 Obtain direct genetic test, if available and not already done, for rifampin resistance	≥14 daily doses of appropriate treatment for TB, preferably by directly observed therapy (DOT), taken and tolerated <u>AND</u> Clinical improvement.
TB suspected or known At increased risk for MDR TB (see section IV.4)	Obtain direct genetic test, if available and not already done, for Rifampin resistance <u>OR</u> If direct genetic test unavailable, while phenotypic DST for rifampin is pending, either criteria for patients with known MDR TB or criteria for patients not at increased risk of MDR TB may be applied, at the discretion of the local TB controller.	≥14 daily doses of appropriate treatment for TB, preferably by directly observed therapy (DOT), taken and tolerated <u>AND</u> Clinical improvement

Table 1 (cont)

	AFB smear negative x 3	≥14 daily doses of
Known MDR TB case	AND	appropriate treatment for
(see section IV.4)	At least 2 consecutive	TB, preferably by directly
	negative sputum cultures	observed therapy (DOT),
	without a subsequent positive	taken and tolerated
	culture OR If subsequent	AND
	AFB smear positive after 3	Clinical improvement
	negative AFB smears, a	
	clinical assessment has been	
	performed and determined to	
	most likely not represent	
	viable <i>M. tb.</i>	

^g See risk definitions in section IV.

^h Three consecutive respiratory specimens, including at least one early AM or induced sputum, or broncho-alveolar lavage (BAL), collected at least 8 hours apart. If available, induced sputum is preferred. If bronchoscopy is done, a post-bronchoscopic sputum specimen obtained at least 8 hours post-bronchoscopy should be included as one of the 3 specimens. The quality of sputum smear must be verified before smear negative status is confirmed, especially if cavitary disease is present. A concentrated specimen with fluorescent microscopy is preferred.

ⁱ If using a NAAT other than Xpert, the NAAT should be assessed for inhibitors on a positive AFB smear and negative NAAT. Ideally NAAT should be performed on a smear positive specimen if available.

^j For definition of "appropriate" treatment refer to the most recent CDC TB treatment guidelines.

Category	Lab Criteria ^{l,m}	Treatment Criteria ⁿ
TB suspected	AFB smear negative x 3 <u>OR</u> NAAT negative x 2 <u>OR</u> AFB smear positive and NAAT negative x 2 (ideally done on the same specimens)	No minimum number of days of TB treatment required
 TB suspected or known AFB smear negative <u>OR</u> NAAT negative x 2 (without smear results) <u>OR</u> AFB smear negative <u>AND</u> NAAT positive No MDR TB risk factors 	AFB smear negative x 3	At least one dose of appropriate TB treatment taken and tolerated
 TB suspected or known NAAT positive (without smear results) <u>OR</u> AFB smear positive <u>AND</u> NAAT positive No MDR TB risk factors 	Bacteriologic response to therapy (progressively decreasing degree of smear positivity)	≥14 daily doses of appropriate treatment for TB, preferably by directly observed therapy (DOT), taken and tolerated <u>AND</u> clinical improvement
TB suspected or known At increased risk for MDR TB (see section IV.4)	Obtain direct genetic test, if available for rifampin resistance <u>OR</u> If direct genetic test not available, while phenotypic DST for rifampin is pending, either criteria for patients with known MDR TB or criteria for patients not at increased risk of MDR TB may be applied, at the discretion of the local TB controller.	≥14 daily doses of appropriate treatment for TB, preferably by directly observed therapy (DOT), taken and tolerated <u>AND</u> clinical improvement
Known MDR TB case (see section IV.4)	AFB smear negative x 3	≥14 daily doses of appropriate treatment for TB, preferably by directly observed therapy (DOT), taken and tolerated <u>AND</u> clinical improvement

Table 2. Criteria for Evaluating Placement in a LOWER RISK SETTING^k

^k See risk definitions in section IV. Three consecutive respiratory specimens, including at least one early AM or induced sputum, or broncho-alveolar lavage (BAL), collected at

least 8 hours apart.

¹ If available, induced sputum is preferred. If bronchoscopy is done, a postbronchoscopic sputum specimen obtained at least 8 hours post-bronchoscopy should be included as one of the 3 specimens. The quality of sputum smear must be verified before smear negative status is confirmed, especially if cavitary disease is present. A concentrated specimen with fluorescent microscopy is preferred.

^m If using a NAAT other than Xpert, the NAAT should be assessed for inhibitors on a positive AFB smear and negative NAAT. Ideally NAAT should be performed on a smear positive specimen if available.

ⁿ For definition of "appropriate" treatment refer to the most recent CDC TB treatment guidelines.

VIII. Discharge or Transfer of Patients with Suspected or Known TB from Health Care Facilities

California Health and Safety Code (HSC), Section 121361, requires that a health facility shall discharge or transfer a person known or suspected to have active TB disease *only after notification and a written treatment plan is received and approved* by the local health officer (LHO) of the jurisdiction in which the health facility is located. This authority is often delegated by the LHO to the local TB Controller.

- 1. The written treatment plan shall include the following elements (HSC Section 121362):
 - a. Verified patient address (or address of the receiving facility);
 - b. Name and contact information of the medical provider who has specifically agreed to provide medical care;
 - c. Clinical information used to assess the patient's infectiousness; and
 - d. "Any other information required" by the LHO.
- 2. When reviewing the written treatment plan, the LHO or designee should take into consideration risk factors for MDR TB, infectiousness of the patient, and the type of setting the patient is entering or returning to using the criteria in section VII to guide decision-making. In addition, before a discharge or transfer can be approved:
 - a. Arrangements should be in place for continuation without interruption of an appropriate, prescribed course of TB medication, preferably by DOT;
 - b. For patients returning home, a home evaluation, including an inperson home visit, should be completed by the local TB program and documented. All contacts at increased risk of progression to TB disease, if infected (see IV.3.), should be

medically evaluated for TB and started on appropriate therapy, including window period treatment for presumed LTBI (TB1).¹² The patient or guardian must agree to abide by home isolation instructions (see section IX.);

- c. The patient's ability to ambulate and perform all activities of daily living should be appropriate for the discharge setting;
- d. Special medical needs (e.g., hemodialysis, cancer treatment), transportation to source of medical care, cooking, shopping, laundry and other issues that might present barriers to adherence with home isolation, or risk of transmission of TB to previously unexposed persons, especially new contacts at increased risk for progression to TB disease if infected (see IV.3.), should be addressed.

IX. Home Isolation

Prior to meeting the criteria for placement as outlined in the above tables. TB patients may be placed in *home isolation*. To be placed in home isolation the following criteria should be met:

- 1. The patient should have been started on a standard multidrug anti-TB treatment regimen;
- No infants, children <5 years, or persons with HIV or other severely immunocompromising conditions live in the household OR if present, they are on appropriate LTBI treatment or window period treatment for presumed LTBI;
- 3. All immunocompetent household members have been previously exposed to the patient; and
- 4. The patient is willing to follow the restrictions imposed by the local TB control program.

Parameters for home isolation need to be clarified with the patient and other residents. This should include conditions for entry of persons into the environment, circumstances where the patient may leave the residence, and precautions within the residence (separate room, etc.). Special precautions for attendance at medical appointments should be clarified with the provider seeing the patient and the patient. Patients in home isolation may not work until they meet the criteria appropriate for their work setting. Patients who do not adhere with the conditions of home isolation may be served a public health legal order to remain in home isolation (HSC Section 121365[g]) and may be prosecuted if they violate such an order (HSC Section 120280).

X. References

- ¹ CDHS/CTCA Joint Guidelines. Guidelines for the Placement or Return of TB Patients into High Risk Housing, Work, Correctional or In-Patient Settings, 1997.
- Escombe AR et al. The detection of airborne transmission of tuberculosis from HIV- infected patients using an in vivo air sampling model. Clin Infect Dis, 2007; 44:1349.
- ³ Fennelly KP et al. Cough generating aerosols of *Mycobacterium tuberculosis*. Am J Respir Crit Care Med, 2004; 169:604.
- ⁴ Jindani A et al. Bactericidal and sterilizing activities of antituberculosis drugs during the first 14 days. Am J Respir Crit Care Med, 2003; 167:1348.
- ⁵ Reichler MR et al. Evaluation of investigations conducted to prevent transmission of tuberculosis. JAMA, 2002; 287:991.
- ⁶ Baily WC et al. Predictive model to identify positive TST results during contact investigations. JAMA, 2002; 287:996.
- ⁷ Borgdorff MW et al. Transmission of *Mycobacterium tuberculosis* depending on the age and sex of source cases. Am J Epidemiology, 2001; 154:934.
- 8 Marks SM et al. Outcomes of contact investigations of infectious tuberculosis patients. Am J Respir Crit Care Med, 2000; 162:2033.
- ⁹ Behr MA et al. Transmission of *Mycobacterium tuberculosis* from patients smear negative for AFB. Lancet, 1999; 353:444.
- ¹⁰ CDC. Guidelines for Preventing the Transmission of *Mycobacterium tuberculosis* in Health Care Settings. MMWR, 2005; 54 (RR-17).
- ¹¹ CDC. Prevention and Control of Tuberculosis in correctional facilities: Recommendations of the Advisory Council for the Elimination of Tuberculosis. MMWR 2006:55 (RR09); 1-44
- 12 CDC. Guidelines for the Investigation of contacts of persons with infectious tuberculosis. Recommendations from the National Tuberculosis Controller's Association and CDC, MMWR 2005;54 (No. RR-15):1-47.
- ¹³ Dharmadhikari AS et al. Rapid impact of effective treatment on transmission of multidrug-resistant tuberculosis. Int J Tuberc Lung Dis, 2014;18(9):1019-1025.
- ¹⁴ Curry International Tuberculosis Center and California Department of Public Health, 2016: Drug-Resistant Tuberculosis; A Survival Guide for Clinicians, 3rd Edition
- ¹⁵ Steingart, KR, Henry, M, Ing, V, Hopewell, PC et al. Fluorescence versus conventional sputum smear microscopy for tuberculosis: a systematic review. Lancet. September 2006; (6):570-581.
- ¹⁶ Chang KC, Leung CC, Yew WW, Tam CM. Supervised and induced sputum

CDPH-CTCA Joint Guidelines for the Assessment of Tuberculosis Patient Infectiousness and Placement into High and Lower Risk Settings, 2017 among patients with smear-negative pulmonary tuberculosis. Eur Respir J. 2008 May; 31(5):1085-90.

- ¹⁷ Brown M et al. Prospective study of sputum induction, gastric washing, and BAL for the diagnosis of pulmonary tuberculosis in patients who are unable to expectorate. Clin Infect Dis. 2007; 44:1415.
- ¹⁸ Bell D et al. The role of induced sputum in the diagnosis of pulmonary tuberculosis. J Infection, 2003; 47:317.
- ¹⁹ Al-Zahrani K et al. Yield of smear, culture, and amplification tests from repeated sputum induction for the diagnosis of pulmonary tuberculosis. Int J Tuberc Lung Dis, 2001; 5:855.
- ²⁰ Li LM et al. Sputum induction to improve the diagnostic yield in patients with suspected pulmonary tuberculosis. Int J Tuberc Lung Dis, 1999; 3:1137.
- 21 Conde MB et al. Comparison of sputum induction with fiberoptic bronchoscopy in the diagnosis of tuberculosis. Am J Respir Crit Care Med, 2000; 162:2238.
- 22 Chawla, R, Pant, K, JAggi, OP, Chandrashekhar, S, Thukral, SS. Fibreoptic bronchoscopy in smear-negative pulmonary tuberculosis. Eur Respir J. 1988 Oct: 1(9): 804-6.
- 23 Morse, M, Kessler, J, Albrecht, S, et al. Induced sputum improves the diagnosis of pulmonary tuberculosis in hospitalized patients in Gaborone, Botswana. Int J Tuberc Lung Disease. 2008 Nov; 12 (11): 1279-85.
- ²⁴ Warren JR et al. A minimum of 5 ml of sputum improves the sensitivity of AFB smear for *Mycobacterium tuberculosis*. Am J Respir Crit Care Med, 2000; 166:1559.
- ²⁵ Ganguly KC, Hiron MM, Mridha ZU, et.al. Comparison of sputum induction with broncho-alveolar lavage in the diagnosis of smear negative pulmonary tuberculsosis. Mymensingh Med J. 2008 Jul;17(2):115-23.
- ²⁶ Louden RG et al. Cough frequency and infectivity in patients with pulmonary tuberculosis. Am Rev Respir Dis. 1969; 99:109-111.
- ²⁷ CDC/ATS/IDSA. Controlling tuberculosis in the US. MMWR, 2005; 54 (RR-12).
- 28 Riley RL, Knight M, Middlebrook G. Ultraviolet susceptiblility of BCG and virulent tubercle bacilli. Am Rev Respi Dis 1976;113:413-8.
- ²⁹ Riley RL, Nardell EA. Clearing the air. The theory and application of Ultra Violet air disinfection. Am Rev Respir Dis 1989;139:1286-94.
- ³⁰ ECRI. TB engineering controls: mobile high efficiency filter air cleaners. Health Devices 1995;24:370-418.
- ³¹ ECRI. TB engineering controls: mobile high efficiency filter air cleaners (supplement). Health Devices 1997;26(6):228-45.

- ³² Leonhardt KK, Gentile F, Gilbert BP, Aiken M. A cluster of tuberculosis among crack house contacts in San Mateo County, California. Am J Public Health 1994;84:1834- 6.
- ³³ Moss AR, Hahn JA, Tulsky JP, Daley CL Small PM, Hopewell PC. Tuberculosis in the homeless. A prospective study. Am J Respir Crit Care Med 2000;162:460-4.
- ³⁴ CDC. Transmission of multidrug-resistant tuberculosis from an HIV-positive client in a residential substance-abuse treatment facility--Michigan. MMWR Morb Mortal Wkly Rep. 1991 Mar 1;40(8):129-31.
- ³⁵ Zolopa AR, Hahn JA, Gorter R, Miranda J, Wlodarczyk D, Peterson J, Pilote L, Moss AR. HIV and tuberculosis infection in San Francisco's homeless adults. Prevalence and risk factors in a representative sample. JAMA. 1994 Aug 10;272(6):455-61.
- ³⁶ CDC. Revised Device Labeling for the Cepheid Xprt MTB/RIF Assay for Detecting Microbacterium tuberculosis. MMWR 2015; 64(07);193-193.
- ³⁷ ATS/CDC Diagnostic Standards and Classification of Tuberculosis in Adults and Children. Am J Respir Crit Care Med. 2000;161: 1376-1395.
- ³⁸ CDPH/CTCA Joint Guidelines. Guidelines for Assessment of Tuberculosis Patient Infectiousness and Placement into High and Lower Risk Setting. 2009
- ³⁹ Lippincott CK et al. Xpert MTB/RIF assay shortens airborne isolation for hospitalized patients with presumptive tuberculosis in the United States. Clin Infect Dis 2014; 59:186.
- ⁴⁰ Campos M et al. Feasibility of shortening respiratory isolation with a single sputum nucleic acid amplification test. <u>Am J Respir Crit Care Med.</u> 2008 Aug 1;178(3):300-5.
- ⁴¹ Chaisson LH et al. Impact of GeneXpert MTB/RIF assay on triage of respiratory isolation rooms for inpatients with presumed tuberculosis: a hypothetical trial. Clin Infect Dis 2014; 59:1353

X. Acknowledgements

2009

Charles M. Crane, MD, MPH, Medical Director, Contra Costa County, TB Program

Gisela Schecter, MD, MPH, Physician Consultant, CDPH, TB Control Branch, Program Development Section

Robert Benjamin, MD, MPH, TB Controller, TB Medical Director, Alameda County, Public Health Department, TB Control (ACPHDTC)

Rashmi Jan Singh, MD, Director and Acting TB Controller, Los Angeles County, Department of Health Services

Susan Sawley, RN, PHN, Supervising PHN, Orange County, Public Health Services, TB Control

Barbara Cole, RN, PHN, MSN, TB Controller, Riverside County, Department of Public Health (RCDPH)

Kathleen Moser, MD, MPH, TB Controller and Private Provider Liaison, San Diego County Public Health

Lisa Goozé, MD, TB Controller, San Mateo County, Health Services Agency

Steven Hwang, BA, MD, Physician Specialist, Assistant Medical Director, County of Los Angeles, TB Control Program, Multidrug-resistant TB Unit

2015 Revision

Charity Thoman, MD, MPH, Health Officer/TB Controller, Santa Barbara County Public Health Department

Barbara Cole, RN, PHN, MSN, TB Controller, Riverside County, Department of Public Health

Jan Young, RN, MSN, TB Control Branch, California Department of Public Health, Program Development Section

Teeb Al-Samarrai, MD, TB Controller, Santa Clara County, TB Control (SCCTC)

Mady Slater, MD, Stanford University Medical Center Division of Infectious Diseases Curry International TB Center

Lisa Goozé, MD, TB Controller, San Mateo County, Health System TB Control

Cynthia Haines, PHN, MA, TB Program Manager, SCCTC

Susan Sawley, RN, PHN, Supervising PHN, TB Nurse Program Manager, ACPHDTC

2017 Revision

Barbara Cole, RN, PHN, MPH, TB Controller, RCDPH

Neha Shah, MD, MPH, Division of Tuberculosis Elimination Field Medical Officer, CDPH

Lisa Goozé, M.D., TB Controller, San Mateo County, Health System TB Control

Sandra Huang, MD, TB Controller, ACPHDTC

Andrea Polesky, MD, SCCTB

Mady Slater, MD, Stanford University Medical Center Division of Infectious Diseases Curry International TB Center